

1. Cancer survival times

Congdon's book, pag. 21, Example 2.4
Program 2.4 Carcinoma Survival

- Aitchinson and Dunsmore (1975) present data on **survival times y** in weeks after a combination of radiotherapy and surgery applied to a particular carcinoma.

- One question of interest is the **length of survival expected for a new patient** with this carcinoma and assigned to this type of treatment.

- Because of the **skewed** form of the data we apply a **log transformation** and assume that **y is log-normal**.

Bayesian Methods – p.3/12

normal example continues ...

- Another question of interest is about the probability that a new patient has a survival time **exceeding 150** ($\log=5.01$)
- Finally, it may be of interest to examine **age effects**. For instance, we can dichotomize the ages of the patients into those below and above 50.

- Suppose we, first, assume a **non informative prior**,
- but **secondarily** we are willing to specify a **prior belief** that the expected mean length of survival is **30 days** ($\log=3.4$) with a 'size of 10', and that the variance is **2** with a **degree of strength of 10**.

Bayesian Methods – p.4/12

Bayesian Methods

LABORATORY

Lesson 3: Feb 07 2002

Software: BUGS and R

Multi-parameter models: Normal and Multinomial

Bayesian Me

MULTI-PARAMETER models

Two examples:

1. The **univariate Normal** model with **unknown** mean **μ** and variance **σ^2**

2. The **Multinomial** model

Bayesian Ma

R code → sigma.post, mu.cond.post, y.new, g.150

```
norm2.r.function(m) {  
  ...  
  Y_log(surv)  
  s2_1 / (n-1) * sum((y-mean(y))^2)  
  chi_rchisq(m,n-1)  
  sigma.post_(n-1)*s2/chi  
  y.bar_mean(y)  
  for(i in 1:m) {  
    mu.post.cond_rnorm(1,y.bar,sqrt(sigma.post[i]/n))  
    y.new_c(y.new,rnorm(1, mu.post.cond[i],sqrt(sigma.pc  
g.150_c(g.150,as.numeric(y.new[i]>5.01)))}  
    #ti_rt(10000,19)  
    #y.new_sqrt(1+1/n)*sqrt(s2)*ti+y.bar  
    #g.150_1=pt((log(150)-y.bar)/(sqrt(1+1/n)*sqrt(s2))  
  }
```

Bayesian Methods – p.7/12

Inference on normal parameters: mean and variance unknown

A) Independent non informative prior $p(\mu) p(\sigma^2)$ with R ...

- Non informative prior: $p(\mu, \sigma^2) \propto 1/\sigma^2$
- posterior calculations by **marginal and conditional simulation**: $p(\mu, \sigma^2 | y) = p(\mu | \sigma^2, y) p(\sigma^2 | y)$
- Marginal posterior distribution for the variance: $p(\sigma^2 | y) \sim Inv-\chi^2(n-1, s^2)$
- Conditional posterior distribution for the mean: $p(\mu | \sigma^2, y) = N(\bar{y}, \sigma^2/n)$

Bayesian Methods

Independent non informative prior $p(\mu) p(\sigma^2)$ with Bugs ...

BUGS requires that a **full (joint) probability model** is defined, and hence **forces all priors to be proper** (specifically the priors on ‘founder nodes’ of the DAG graph). Then ‘just proper’ priors are usually specified. E.g. in our example:

- non informative prior for the mean:

$$N(0, \tau = 0.0001) \text{ locally uniform over } \pm 200$$

- non informative prior for the precision τ :

$$G(0.0001, 0.0001) \sim 1/\tau$$

- posterior calculations by **Gibbs sampling**

Bayesian Methods – p.8/12

$1 - F_{t_{n-1}}((5.01 - \bar{y})/(1 + \frac{1}{n}s))$

Bayesian Methods

1. Cancers in women

Congdon's book, pag. 39, Example 2.16
Program 2.16 Female Cancers

- In 1995, breast cancer in the UK accounted for 18% female cancer deaths.

- Suppose we were interested in the **credible interval** of this rate, in a situation where we have **k=11** cancer types.

- We assume a non informative *Dirichlet(c)*, with $c_i = 1, i = 1, \dots, k$. Then, the 1995 female cancer data, for which the total deaths $X=76680$, will tend to 'swamp' the prior.



Bayesian Methods - p.11/12

multinomial example continues ...

- Apart from the *multinomial procedure*, the other option is to estimate the multinomial parameters by taking each of the **k=11** outcomes as independent Poissons.
- We may assume **non informative Gamma priors** on each Poisson mean, and then calculate, for each draw from the posterior forms of these 11 distributions, the estimates $\theta_i = \mu_i / \sum_i \mu_i$.

See at the web course page *mnomial.r* and *mnomial.b* for the codes in R and BUGS.



B) Interdependent priors: $p(\mu|\sigma) p(\sigma^2)$ with R...

- conjugate prior: $N - Inv_{\chi^2}(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2/m_0; \nu_0, \sigma_0^2)$

$$p(\mu|\sigma^2)p(\sigma^2) = N(\mu_0, \sigma^2/m_0) Inv_{\chi^2}(\nu_0, \sigma_0^2)$$

- posterior calculations from the posterior $N - Inv_{\chi^2}(\mu_n, \sigma_n^2/m_n; \nu_n, \sigma_n^2)$ by **marginal and conditional simulation**: $p(\mu, \sigma|y) = p(\mu|\sigma, y)p(\sigma|y)$
- Marginal posterior distribution for the variance:

$$p(\sigma^2|y) \sim Inv_{\chi^2}(\nu_n, \sigma_n^2)$$

- Conditional posterior distribution for the mean:

$$p(\mu|\sigma, y) = N(\mu_n, \sigma^2/m_n)$$

Bayesian Methods

Bayesian Methods - p.11/12

Interdependent informative prior $p(\mu|\sigma) p(\sigma^2)$ with Bugs ...

- Prior for the mean: $N(\mu_0, t_0 * \tau)$
In our example on survival time: $\mu_0 = 3.4$ (=log 30 days), prior sample size $t_0 = 10$
- Prior for the precision: $G(\nu_0/2, \nu_0 \sigma_0^2/2)$
In our example: $\sigma_0^2 = 2$, prior d.f. = 10
- posterior calculations by **Gibbs sampling**

See at the web course page *normal2.r*, *normal2.dat* and *normal2.b* for the codes in R and BUGS.

BUGS.



Bayesian Methods - p.12/12

Bayesian Methods