

## A three level hierarchical dataset

**Incidence of heart disease mortality** in  $i = 1, \dots, 758 = I$   
**small areas** (electoral wards) in the Greater London area  
over the **3 = T years** 1990-92. These small areas are  
grouped into  $j = 1, \dots, 33 = J$  **clusters** (boroughs).

Regressors:

at the area level:  $x_{ij}$ , index of socio-economic deprivation;  
at the cluster level:  $w_j$ , 1 for inner London borough, 0 for  
the outer suburban boroughs.

Bayesian Methods – p.36

## Theoretical setting

**Level-1** model:

$$O_{ij} | \mu_{ij} \stackrel{ind}{\sim} Pois(\mu_{ij})$$
$$\log(\mu_{ij}) = \log(E_{ij}) + \beta_{1j} + \beta_{2j} (x_{ij} - \bar{x}) + \delta_{ij}$$
$$\delta_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma_\delta^2)$$

**Level-2** model:

$$\beta_j = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{1j} \\ \beta_{2j} \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{ind}{\sim} N_2(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\beta j}, \Omega)$$
$$\mu_{\beta 1j} = \gamma_{11} + \gamma_{12} w_j$$
$$\mu_{\beta 2j} = \gamma_{21} + \gamma_{22} w_j$$

Priors for Bayesian analysis:

$$\Omega^{-1} \sim W((\rho R)^{-1}, \rho)$$
$$\gamma_{11} \sim N(0, 1.0E - 4)$$
$$\sigma_\delta^{-2} \sim G(a, b)$$

Bayesian Methods – p.48

## Bayesian Methods LABORATORY

Lesson 8: March 14 2002

Software: **BUGS**

Multilevel GLM for hierarchical data sets

## Multilevel GLM. Small area deaths

Example 8.1 taken from Congdon's book *Poisson model for small area deaths*, p. 272

On the course web page:

Congdon's BUGS program: *Program 8.1 Multi-level IHD mortality*;

Extension: **MLpois.b**

Bayesian M

Bayesian M

### Level-1: the prior specification for $\eta_{ij} = \log(\mu_{ij})$

$\log(E_{ij})$  is an offset, i.e., an explanatory variable with known coefficient (equal to 1);

$\beta = (\beta_{1j}, \beta_{2j})$  are **random coefficients** for the intercepts and the impacts of deprivation at the cluster level;

$\delta_{ij}$  is a random error for Poisson **overdispersion**

$x_{ij} - \bar{x}$  is a area level covariate centred w.r.t. the overall mean.

### Level-2: the prior specification for $\mu, \beta_j$

$\gamma_{11}, \gamma_{12}, \gamma_{21}, \gamma_{22}$  are the **population coefficients** for the intercept, the impact of borough category, the impact of deprivation, and, respectively, the interaction impact of the level-2 regressor and level-1 regressor.

### The non centered versus the hierarchically centered parameterization

Non-centered parameterization:

$$\log(\mu_{ij}) = \gamma_{11} + \gamma_{21}\bar{x}_{ij} + \gamma_{12}w_j + \gamma_{22}\bar{x}_{ij}w_j + \delta_{ij} + \nu_{1j} + \nu_{2j}\bar{x}_{ij}$$

Hierarchically centered:

Level-1 model centered onto:  
Level-2 model

**Because of non-identifiability issues in GLMMs, hence high parameter cross-correlation, it is computationally convenient the centered parameterization** (Gelfand and Sahu, 1999).

### Alternative likelihood models

1.  $O_{ij} \sim \text{Bin}(p_{ij}, n_{ij})$ , where  $n_{ij}$  is the known number of individuals at risk (in area  $i$  within cluster  $j$ ), and  $p_{ij}$  is the associated heart disease mortality rate;

2.  $O_{ij}$  or  $\sqrt{O_{ij}}$  or  $\sqrt{O_{ij} + 1} \sim \text{Norm}(p_{ij}n_{ij}, n_{ij}p_{ij}(1 - p_{ij}))$ , when the counts  $O_{ij}$  are sufficiently large.

If the counts consist in small (including even several 0s) values, the choice is preferably

$$O_{ij} \sim \text{Pois}(p_{ij}n_{ij}) \\ = \text{Pois}(E_{ij}\lambda_{ij})$$

where  $E_{ij}$  is the expected count (in area  $i$  within cluster  $j$ ).

In fact, Poisson model is more appropriate than the Binomial one greater the rarity of the studied event is.

### Computation of $E_{ij}$

Suppose that  $p^*$  is an overall disease rate. Then,

$$E_{ij} = n_{ij}p^* \text{ and } \lambda_{ij} = p_{ij}/p^*.$$

The  $\mu$ s are said

1. **externally standardized** if  $p^*$  is obtained from another data source (such as a standard reference table);

2. **internally standardized** if  $p^*$  is obtained from the given dataset, e.g.,  $p^* = \sum_{ij} O_{ij} / \sum_{ij} n_{ij}$ .

In our example we rely on the latter approach.

Under 1. the joint distribution of the  $O_{ij}$  is a product Poisson; under 2. is multinomial.

However, since likelihood inference is unaffected by whether or not we condition on  $\sum_{ij} O_{ij}$ , the product Poisson likelihood is commonly retained.