Ingo Ruczinski

Table of contents

Outline

The Poisson distribution

Poisson approximation to the binomial

Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling

### Lecture 27

### Ingo Ruczinski

Department of Biostatistics Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health Johns Hopkins University

December 3, 2015

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

#### Ingo Ruczinski

### Table of contents

Outline

The Poisson distribution

Poisson approximation to the binomial

Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling

### 1 Table of contents

### **2** Outline

**3** The Poisson distribution

4 Poisson approximation to the binomial

**6** Person-time analysis

6 Exact tests



## Table of contents

・ロト・「聞・・言・・言・・ しゃくの・

# Outline

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

### Outline

The Poisson distribution

Lecture 27

- Poisson approximation to the binomial
- Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling

### Poisson distribution

- 2 Tests of hypothesis for a single Poisson mean
- **3** Comparing multiple Poisson means
- 4 Likelihood equivalence with exponential model

Ingo Ruczinski

### Table of contents

### Outline

The Poisson distribution

Poisson approximation to the binomial

Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling

## Pump failure data

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Pump	1	2	3	4	5
Failures	5	1	5	14	3
Time	94.32	15.72	62.88	125.76	5.24
Pump	6	7	8	9	10
Failures	19	1	1	4	22
Time	31.44	1.05	1.05	2.10	10.48

From Casella and Robert, Monte Carlo Statistical Methods; first edition

Ingo Ruczinski

Table of contents

Outline

### The Poisson distribution

Poisson approximation to the binomial

Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling

# The Poisson distribution

Used to model counts

• The Poisson mass function is

$$P(X = x; \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{x} e^{-\lambda}}{x!}$$

for x = 0, 1, ...

- The mean of this distribution is  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$
- The variance of this distribution is  $\lambda$
- Notice that x ranges from 0 to  $\infty$

Ingo Ruczinski

Table of contents

Outline

### The Poisson distribution

Poisson approximation to the binomial

Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling

# Some uses for the Poisson distribution

- Modeling event/time data
- Modeling radioactive decay
- Modeling survival data
- Modeling unbounded count data
- Modeling contingency tables
- Approximating binomials when n is large and p is small

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

# Definition

#### Lecture 27

#### Ingo Ruczinski

- Table of contents
- Outline

### The Poisson distribution

- Poisson approximation to the binomial
- Person-time analysis
- Exact tests
- Time-to-event modeling

- $\lambda$  is the mean number of events per unit time
- Let h be very small
- Suppose we assume that
  - Prob. of an event in an interval of length h is  $\lambda h$  while the prob. of more than one event is negligible
  - Whether or not an event occurs in one small interval does not impact whether or not an event occurs in another small interval

then, the number of events per unit time is Poisson with mean  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ 

Ingo Ruczinski

Table of contents

Outline

The Poisson distribution

Poisson approximation to the binomial

Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling

# Poisson approximation to the binomial

• When *n* is large and *p* is small the Poisson distribution is an accurate approximation to the binomial distribution

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

- Notation
  - $\lambda = np$
  - $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$ ,  $\lambda = np$  and
  - *n* gets large
  - p gets small
  - λ stays constant

#### Ingo Ruczinski

Table of contents

Outline

The Poissor distribution

Poisson approximation to the binomial

Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling

### Rice Mathematical Statistics and Data Analysis page 41

$$P(X = k) = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} p^{k} (1-p)^{n-k}$$

$$= \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{k} \left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n-k}$$

$$= \frac{n!}{(n-k)!n^{k}} \times \left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{-k} \times \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!} \left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n}$$

$$\to 1 \times 1 \times \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!} e^{-\lambda}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!} e^{-\lambda}$$

Proof

### Notes

- Lecture 27
- Ingo Ruczinski
- Table of contents
- Outline
- The Poisson distribution
- Poisson approximation to the binomial
- Person-time analysis
- Exact tests
- Time-to-event modeling

- That  $(1 \lambda/n)^n$  converges to  $e^{-\lambda}$  for large n is a very old mathematical fact
- We can show that  $\frac{n!}{(n-k)!n^k}$  goes to one easily because

$$\frac{n!}{(n-k)!n^k} = 1 \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \times \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right) \times \ldots \times \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{n}\right)$$

each term goes to 1

# Examples

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

#### Lecture 27

#### Ingo Ruczinski

Table of contents

Outline

The Poisson distribution

Poisson approximation to the binomial

Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling Some example uses of the Poisson distribution

- deaths per day in a city
- homicides witnessed in a year
- teen pregnancies per month
- Medicare claims per day
- cases of a disease per year
- cars passing an intersection in a day
- telephone calls received by a switchboard in an hour

### Some results

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Outline

Lecture 27

The Poisson distribution

Poisson approximation to the binomial

Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling • If  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(t\lambda)$  then  $\frac{X - t\lambda}{\sqrt{t\lambda}} = \frac{X - \text{Mean}}{\text{SD}}$ 

converges to a standard normal as  $t\lambda \to \infty$ 

Hence

$$\frac{(X-t\lambda)^2}{t\lambda} = \frac{(O-E)^2}{E}$$

converges to a Chi-squared with 1 degree of freedom for large  $t\lambda$ 

• If  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(t\lambda)$  then X/t is the ML estimate of  $\lambda$ 

# Proof

Likelihood is

$$\frac{(t\lambda)^{x}e^{-t\lambda}}{x!}$$

• So that the log-likelihood is

 $x\log(\lambda) - t\lambda + \text{constants in }\lambda$ 

The derivative of the log likelihood is

$$x/\lambda - t$$

• Setting equal to 0 we get that  $\hat{\lambda} = x/t$ 

### Lecture 27

Ingo Ruczinski

Table of contents

Outline

The Poisson distribution

Poisson approximation to the binomial

Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling

Ingo Ruczinski

Table of contents

Outline

The Poisson distribution

Poisson approximation to the binomial

Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling

# Pump failure data

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- Failures for Pump 1: 5, monitoring time: 94.32 days
- Estimate of  $\lambda$ , the mean number of failures per day = 5/94.32 = .053
- Test the hypothesis that the mean number of failures per day is larger than the industry standard, .15 events per day:  $H_0: \lambda = .15$  versus  $H_a: \lambda > .15$
- $TS = (5 94.32 \times .15) / \sqrt{94.2 \times .15} = -2.433$
- Hence P-value is very large (.99)
- HW: Obtain a confidence interval for  $\lambda$

Ingo Ruczinski

Table of contents

Outline

The Poisson distribution

Poisson approximation to the binomial

Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling

# Pump failure data

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- Exact P-value can be obtained by using the Poisson distribution directly
- $P(X \ge 5)$  where  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(.15 \times 94.32)$

ppois(5, .15 \* 94.32, lower.tail = FALSE) = .995
very little evidence to suggest that this pump is
malfunctioning

• To obtain a P-value for a two-sided alternative, double the smaller of the two one sided P-values

#### Ingo Ruczinski

Table of contents

Outline

The Poissor distribution

Poisson approximation to the binomial

Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling

Pump	1	2	3	4	5
Failures	5	1	5	14	3
Time	94.32	15.72	62.88	125.76	5.24
$\hat{\lambda}$	.053	.064	.080	.111	.573
P-value	.995	.999	.908	.843	.009
Pump	6	7	8	9	10
Failures	19	1	1	4	22
Time	31.44	1.05	1.05	2.10	10.48
$\hat{\lambda}$	.604	.952	.952	1.904	2.099
	.001	.002		2.001	

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Ingo Ruczinski

Table of contents

Outline

The Poisson distribution

Poisson approximation to the binomial

Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling

# Common failure rate

• If 
$$X_i$$
 for  $i = 1, ..., n$  are Poisson $(t_i \lambda)$  then

$$\sum X_i \sim \mathsf{Poisson}\left(\lambda \sum t_i
ight)$$

If you are willing to assume the common  $\lambda$ , then  $\sum X_i$  contains all of the relevant information

- Clearly a common λ across pumps is not warranted. However, for illustration, assume that this is the case
- Then the total number of failures was 75
- The total monitoring time was 305.4
- The estimate of the common  $\lambda$  would be 75/305.4 = .246
- · Later we will discuss a test for common failure rate

Ingo Ruczinski

Table of contents

Outline

The Poisson distribution

Poisson approximation to the binomial

Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling

# Person-time analysis

OC	# of	cases #	<pre># of person-years</pre>					
Current	users 9	9	2,935	-				
Never us	sers 23	39	135,130					
From: Ro	sner Fundamer	ntals of Bio	ostatistics, sixth	'n				
edition, page 744								

- One of the most common uses of the Poisson distribution in epi is to model rates
- Estimated incidence rate amongst current users is 9/2,935 = .0038 events per person year
- Estimated incidence rate amongst never users is 239/135, 130 = .0018 events per person year

# Poisson model

Table of contents

Lecture 27

Outline

The Poisson distribution

Poisson approximation to the binomial

Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling

- Rates are often *modeled* as Poisson
- Notice that the total number of subjects is discarded, whether the 2,935 years was comprised of 1,000 or 500 people does not come into play
- Most useful for rare events (though we'll discuss another motivation for the Poisson model later)
  - λ = .3 events per year
  - Followed 10 people for a total of 40 person-years
  - Expected number of deaths is  $.3\times 40=12,$  larger than our sample
- $\lambda$  is assumed constant over time

Ingo Ruczinski

Table of contents

Outline

The Poisson distribution

Poisson approximation to the binomial

Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling

### Comparing two Poisson means

- Want to test  $H_0: \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$
- Observed counts  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  Person-times  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$
- Estimate of  $\lambda$  under the null hypothesis is  $\hat{\lambda} = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{t_1 + t_2}$
- Estimated expected count in Group 1 under  $H_0$

$$E_1 = \hat{\lambda} t_1 = (x_1 + x_2) \frac{t_1}{t_1 + t_2}$$

• Estimated expected count in Group 2 under H<sub>0</sub>

$$E_2 = \hat{\lambda}t_2 = (x_1 + x_2)\frac{t_2}{t_1 + t_2}$$

### Notes

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

contents

Lecture 27

- Outline
- The Poisson distribution
- Poisson approximation to the binomial

### Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling • Test statistic

$$TS = \sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E} = \frac{(x_1 - E_1)^2}{E_1} + \frac{(x_2 - E_2)^2}{E_2}$$

follows a Chi-squared distribution with 1 df

• Equivalent computational form

$$TS = rac{(X_1 - E_1)^2}{V_1}$$

where

$$V_1 = (x_1 + x_2)t_1t_2/(t_1 + t_2)^2$$

# OC example

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

The Poisson distribution

Lecture 27

Poisson approximatio to the binomial

Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling

• 
$$x_1 = 9, t_1 = 2,935$$
  
•  $x_2 = 239, t_2 = 135,130$   
•  $E_1 = (9 + 239) \times \frac{2,935}{2,935 + 135,130} = 5.27$   
•  $V_1 = (9 + 239) \times \frac{2,935 \times 135,130}{(2,935 + 135,130)^2} = 5.16$   
 $TS = \frac{(9 - 5.27)^2}{5.16} = 2.70$ 

P-value = .100

Ingo Ruczinski

Table of contents

Outline

The Poisson distribution

Poisson approximation to the binomial

Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling

# Estimating the relative rate

- Relative rate  $\lambda_1/\lambda_2$
- Estimate  $(x_1/t_1)/(x_2/t_2)$
- Standard error for the log relative rate estimate

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2}}$$

- For the OC example the estimated log relative rate is .550
- The standard error is  $\sqrt{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{239}} = .340$
- 95% CI for the log relative rate is

 $.550 \pm 1.96 \times .340 = (-.115, 1.26)$ 

### Exact test

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- HW: Extend the above test to more than two groups
- Suppose that the observed counts were low
- We obtain an exact test by conditioning on the sum of the counts
- That is, we make use of the fact that

$$X_1 \mid X_1 + X_2 = z \sim \operatorname{Binomial}\left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + t_2}, z\right)$$

#### Lecture 27

#### Ingo Ruczinski

- Table of contents
- Outline
- The Poisson distribution
- Poisson approximation to the binomial
- Person-time analysis

### Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling

Ingo Ruczinski

Table of contents

Outline

The Poisson distribution

Poisson approximation to the binomial

Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling

# Alternate motivation for Poisson model

- Another way to motivate the Poisson model is to show that it is *likelihood equivalent* to a plausible model
- We show that the Poisson model is likelihood equivalent to a model that specifies failure times as being independent exponentials
- Whenever the independent exponential model is reasonable, then so is the Poisson model, regardless of how large or small the rate or sample size is
- The likelihood equivalence implies that likelihood methods apply

## Recall

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

#### Lecture 27

#### Ingo Ruczinski

- Table of contents
- Outline
- The Poisson distribution
- Poisson approximation to the binomial
- Person-time analysis
- Exact tests
- Time-to-event modeling

- The likelihood is the density viewed as a function of the parameter
- The likelihood summarizes the evidence in the data about the parameter
- If  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(t\lambda)$  then the likelihood for  $\lambda$  is

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = rac{(t\lambda)^{ imes} e^{-t\lambda}}{x!} \propto \lambda^{ imes} e^{-t\lambda}$$

• When you have independent observations, the likelihood is the product of the likelihood for each observation

Ingo Ruczinski

Table of contents

Outline

The Poisson distribution

Poisson approximation to the binomial

Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling

# Likelihood equivalence with exponential model

- Suppose there is no censoring (every person followed until an event)
- We model each person's time until failure as independent exponentials: Y<sub>i</sub> ~ Exponential(λ)
- Each subject contributes  $\lambda e^{-y_i\lambda}$  to the likelihood

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda e^{-y_i \lambda} = \lambda^n \exp\left(-\lambda \sum y_i\right)$$

- Here *n* is the number of events and  $\sum y_i$  is the total person-time
- Same likelihood as if we specify  $n \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda \sum y_i)!$

Ingo Ruczinski

Table of contents

Outline

The Poisson distribution

Poisson approximation to the binomial

Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling

# Likelihood equivalence with censoring

- Suppose now that the study ended before events were observed on some subjects
- Likelihood contribution for each event is  $\lambda e^{-y_i\lambda}$
- Likelihood contribution for each censored observation is

$$P(Y \ge y_i; \lambda) = \int_{y_i}^{\infty} \lambda e^{-u\lambda} du = e^{-y_i\lambda}$$

The contribution is this integral b/c we don't really know the event time for that person, only that it was later than  $y_i$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Ingo Ruczinski

Table of contents

Outline

The Poisson distribution

Poisson approximation to the binomial

Person-time analysis

Exact tests

Time-to-event modeling

 Combining the censored and non-censored observations, we have

$$\left\{\prod_{\text{non-censored }i}\lambda e^{-y_i\lambda}\right\}\times\left\{\prod_{\text{censored }i}e^{-y_i\lambda}\right\}$$

x events (and hence n - x censored observations)

$$= \lambda^{\mathsf{x}} \exp\left(-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i\right)$$

- x is the total number of events and ∑<sup>n</sup><sub>i=1</sub> y<sub>i</sub> is the total amount of person-time
- This is exactly the same likelihood as modeling X ~ Poisson(λ ∑<sub>i=1</sub><sup>n</sup> y<sub>i</sub>)