## Review: cross-level interactions in logistic regression

1) Model with random intercept and random slope and random effects distributions do not depend on the covariates (latent variable formulation)

$$y_{ik}^* = \eta_{1k} + \eta_{2k}x_{ik} + \mathcal{E}_{ik}$$
 Stage 1
$$\eta_{1k} = \gamma_1 + \zeta_{1k}$$
 Stage 2
$$\eta_{2k} = \gamma_2 + \zeta_{2k}$$
 Stage 2
$$\zeta_{1k} \sim N(0, \tau_1^2)$$

$$\zeta_{2k} \sim N(0, \tau_2^2)$$

$$y_{ik}^{*} = \gamma_{1} + \zeta_{1k} + (\gamma_{2} + \zeta_{2k})x_{ik} + \varepsilon_{ik}$$

$$\zeta_{1k} \sim N(0, \tau_{1}^{2})$$

$$\zeta_{2k} \sim N(0, \tau_{2}^{2})$$

## In lab today

**Model 1**: What is the effect of  $kid2p_{ik}$  accounting for the between-community heterogeneity?

$$\log \left( \frac{p(y_{ik} = 1)}{1 - p(y_{ik} = 1)} \right) = \eta_{ik}$$

$$\eta_{ik} = \beta_{0k} + \beta_{1k} kid \, 2p_{ik}$$

$$\beta_{0k} = \beta_0 + U_{k0}$$

$$\beta_{1k} = \beta_1 + U_{k1}$$

 $\beta_{0k}$ : community-specific intercept, i.e., baseline log odds of being immunized (<2y)

 $\beta_{lk}$ : community-specific slope of  $kid\,2\,p_{ik}$ , i.e., log OR being immunized comparing >=2y versus <2y.

The equivalent 1-line writing of  $\eta_{ijk}$  is:

$$\eta_{ik} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 kid 2 p_{ik} + U_{k0} + U_{k1} kid 2 p_{ik}$$

 $\beta_0$ : overall intercept (fixed effects)

 $\beta_1$ : main effect of  $kid2p_{ik}$  (fixed effects)

```
gllamm model
```

immun		Std. Err.	z	P>   z	[95% Conf.	Interval]	
	3.073634	.4969815	6.94	0.000	2.238823	4.219728	
Variances and covariances of random effects							
***level 2 (cluster)							
var(1): 1.2882633 (.47966448) cov(2,1):65561142 (.39690843) cor(2,1):71194885							
var(2): .6	55824989 (.367	32232)					

## 2) Model with random intercept and random slope and random intercept distribution depends on a level-2 covariate

$$y_{ik}^{*} = \eta_{1k} + \eta_{2k}x_{ik} + \varepsilon_{ik}$$
 Stage 1
$$\eta_{1k} = \gamma_{11} + \gamma_{12}z_{k} + \zeta_{1k}$$

$$\eta_{2k} = \gamma_{2} + \zeta_{2k}$$
 Stage 2
$$\zeta_{1k} \sim N(0, \tau_{1}^{2})$$

$$\zeta_{2k} \sim N(0, \tau_{2}^{2})$$

$$y_{ik}^* = \gamma_{11} + \zeta_{1k} + (\gamma_2 + \zeta_{2k})x_{ik} + \gamma_{12}z_k + \mathcal{E}_{ik}$$

$$\zeta_{1k} \sim N(0, \tau_1^2)$$

$$\zeta_{2k} \sim N(0, \tau_2^2)$$

Model 2: Does community-level covariates explain the between-community heterogeneity in the baseline log odds of being immunized?

$$\log \left( \frac{p(y_{ik} = 1)}{1 - p(y_{ik} = 1)} \right) = \eta_{ik}$$

$$\eta_{ik} = \beta_{0k} + \beta_{1k} kid \, 2 \, p_{ik}$$

$$\beta_{0k} = \beta_0 + \beta_2 rural_k + \beta_3 pc Ind \, 81_k + U_{k0}$$

$$\beta_{1k} = \beta_1 + U_{k1}$$

The equivalent 2-stage writing of  $\eta_{ijk}$  is:

$$\eta_{ik} = \beta_0 + (\beta_1 + U_{k1})kid2p_{ik} + \beta_2 rural_k + \beta_3 pcInd81_k + U_{k0}$$

 $\beta_{0k}$ ,  $\beta_{1k}$ ,  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ : Same as above.

 $\beta_2$ : main effect of  $rural_k$  (fixed effects)

 $\beta_3$ : main effect of  $pcInd81_k$  (fixed effects)

gllamm model

immun	exp(b)	Std. Err.	z	P>   z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
kid2p	2.984958	.4724544	6.91	0.000	2.188826	4.070662
rural	.5294077	.0867878	-3.88	0.000	.3839278	.7300136
pcInd81	.3842638	.0782185	-4.70	0.000	.257848	.5726576

Variances and covariances of random effects

\_\_\_\_\_

\*\*\*level 2 (cluster)

```
var(1): .85945899 (.36518027)
cov(2,1): -.4942948 (.33061796) cor(2,1): -.68798101
```

var(2): .60061203 (.34310316)

The variance of the random intercept decrease, indicating that the community-level covariates  $rural_k$  and  $pcInd81_k$  explain the between-community variability in baseline log odd of being immunized. The statistical significance of the main effects of  $rural_k$  and  $pcInd81_k$  also suggests this conclusion.

## 3) Model with random intercept and random slope and random effects distributions depend on a level-2 covariate

$$y_{ik}^{*} = \eta_{1k} + \eta_{2k}x_{ik} + \mathcal{E}_{ik} \qquad \text{Stage 1}$$

$$-\eta_{1k}^{*} = \gamma_{11} + \gamma_{12}z_{k} + \zeta_{1k}$$

$$\eta_{2k} = \gamma_{21} + \gamma_{22}z_{k} + \zeta_{2k}$$

$$\zeta_{1k} \sim N(0, \tau_{1}^{2})$$

$$\zeta_{2k} \sim N(0, \tau_{2}^{2})$$

$$y_{ik}^* = \gamma_{11} + \zeta_{1k} + (\gamma_{21} + \zeta_{2k})x_{ik} + \gamma_{12}z_k + \gamma_{22}z_kx_{ik} + \varepsilon_{ik}$$

$$\zeta_{1k} \sim N(0, \tau_1^2)$$

$$\zeta_{2k} \sim N(0, \tau_2^2)$$

Model 3:Does community-level covariates explain the between-community heterogeneity in both the baseline log odds of being immunized and the log OR being immunized comparing >=2y versus <2y?

$$\log \left( \frac{p(y_{ik} = 1)}{1 - p(y_{ik} = 1)} \right) = \eta_{ik}$$

$$\eta_{ik} = \beta_{0k} + \beta_{1k} kid 2 p_{ik}$$

$$\beta_{0k} = \beta_0 + \beta_2 rural_k + \beta_3 pc Ind 81_k + U_{k0}$$

$$\beta_{1k} = \beta_1 + \beta_4 rural_k + \beta_5 pc Ind 81_k + U_{k1}$$

The equivalent 2-stage writing of  $\eta_{iik}$  is:

$$\begin{split} &\eta_{ik} = \beta_0 + \beta_2 rural_k + \beta_3 pcInd81_k + U_{k0} + (\beta_1 + \beta_4 rural_k + \beta_5 pcInd81_k + U_{k1})kid2p_{ik} \\ &\eta_{ik} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 kid2p_{ik} + \beta_2 rural_k + \beta_3 pcInd81_k + \beta_4 rural_k * kid2p_{ik} + \beta_5 pcInd81_k * kid2p_{ik} + U_{k0} + U_{k1} * kid2p_{ik} + \beta_5 pcInd81_k * kid2p_{ik} + U_{k0} + U_{k1} * kid2p_{ik} + \beta_5 pcInd81_k * kid2p_{ik} + \beta_5 pcInd$$

 $\beta_{0k}$ ,  $\beta_{1k}$ ,  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ ,  $\beta_3$ : Same as above.

 $\beta_4$ :cross-level interaction between  $rural_k$  and  $kid2p_{ik}$  (fixed effects)

 $\beta_5$ :cross-level interaction between  $pcInd81_k$  and  $kid2p_{ik}$  (fixed effects)

. gllamm immun kid2p rural pcInd81 int\_2p\_ru int\_2p\_pc, family(binomial)
link(logit) i(cluster) nrf(2) eqs(inter slope) nip(4 4) adapt eform
gllamm model

immun	exp(b)	Std. Err.	z	P>   z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
kid2p	2.311586	.7539445	2.57	0.010	1.219784	4.380635
rural	.5115291	.1639525	-2.09	0.036	.2729278	.9587223
pcInd81	.2402431	.0980197	-3.50	0.000	.1079839	.534494
int_2p_ru	1.045638	.3464314	0.13	0.893	.5462218	2.001676
int_2p_pc	1.755981	.727406	1.36	0.174	.7796755	3.95481

Variances and covariances of random effects

\_\_\_\_\_

```
***level 2 (cluster)
```

```
var(1): .95682725 (.39271689)
cov(2,1): -.56582712 (.34798787) cor(2,1): -.72621719
var(2): .63445517 (.34985769)
```

The variance of the random slope remains approximately the same, indicating that the community-level covariates  $rural_k$  and  $pcInd81_k$  do not explain the between-community variability in the log OR being immunized comparing >=2y versus <2y. This can be also inferred from the non-statistically significant (cross-level) interaction between  $kid2p_{ik}$  and the community-level variables  $rural_k$  and  $pcInd81_k$ .